UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,898	12/23/2005	Naoyuki Ochi	441P099	4448
42754 Nields & Lema	7590 12/06/200 ck	7	EXAM	IINER
176 E. Main Street			SESE, JASON A	
Suite #5 Westboro, MA	01581		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/555,898	OCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason A. Sese	4174
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accer	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	-vaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 21 September 2007; 02 April 2007; 23 October 03 July 2006; 30 January 2006	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P ctober 6) Other:	ate



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al. (U.S. 2002/0176046).
- 5. Regarding Claims 1-3, the applicant claims a sealant for liquid crystals comprising as essential ingredients (a) a radiation curable resin represented by the general formula (1) or (2); (b) a photopolymerization initiator; and (c) an inorganic filler having an average particle diameter of 3 μ m or less. Further, the radiation curable resin (a) has a content of 30% by weight to 80% by weight.

Kitamura et al. disclose a sealant for liquid crystal displays that comprises 15 - 84% liquid epoxy resin by weight [0101-0184]], a photocuring agent [0362], and inorganic particles of diameter 3 µm or less [0265–0337].

Concerning the liquid epoxy resin:

In the applicant's disclosure, they indicate that the curable resin (a) indicated by formula (1) can be obtained by subjecting several types of diglycidyl ether to an equivalent amount of (meta) acrylic acid.

Kitamura et al. disclose that the photocuring liquid crystal sealant composition includes a poly(meth)acrylate compound, and a composition containing an epoxy resin [0362]. As part of their epoxy resin, they discuss such epoxy groups as hydroquinone polyglycidyl ether and resorcinol polyglycidyl ether, which are mirrored by the applicant [0124].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the acrylic and epoxy elements from the disclosure of Kitamura et al. to produce an alternative liquid crystal sealant as claimed by the applicant.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Watanabe et al. (WO 02/092718) describe an epoxy sealant that does not dissolve in liquid crystal material.
 - Miyawaki et al. (U.S. 2006/0006362) describe a liquid crystal sealing composition comprising epoxy resin and inorganic filler.

Art Unit: 4174

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason A. Sese, whose telephone number is (571)270-3473. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4174 Jason A. Sese Examiner Art Unit 4174

/J. A. S./